

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,046	12/05/2003	Alexander M. Harmon	022956-0235	9312
21125	7590 02/23/2007 CLENNEN & FISH LLP		EXAM	INER
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST			STEWART, ALVIN J	
155 SEAPORT BOSTON, MA	F BOULEVARD . 02210-2604		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
2001011, 1111	. 02210 2001		3738	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			101
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	. / •
Advisory Action	10/729,046	HARMON ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin J. Stewart	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 08 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	•
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dates 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	, affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the ma	ailing date of the final reject	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).		•
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amo eshortened statutory period for reply er than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approp originally set in the final Of	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extra a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of t	ths of the date of he appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			h
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c			secause .
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 	low); etter form for appeal by materially	y reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		-Compliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separa	ite, timely filed amendm	ient canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ ovided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 9-13, 20 and 23-28</u> .	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			·
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	•
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing and sufficient reasons why the affi	a Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> idavit or other evidence	<u>iot</u> be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap ary and was not earlier presented	ppeal and/or appellant f I.See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be:	out does NOT place the application	on in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	— A	

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 3738

13. Other: ____.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations entered in claim 1 was previously rejected by the Papadopulos in view of Brauker et a (US 6,773,458)I.